

Appendix K:

**Summary of 2005 JOBZ Business Assistance Reported by Government
Agencies in 2006**

Summary of 2005 JOBZ Business Assistance Agreements Reported by Government Agencies in 2006

Report Year	Grantor Name	Recipient	CI Real	CI Personal	Total CI	FT Goals	FT Actual	PT Goals	PT Actual	RT Goals	RT Actual
2006	Ada, City of	ApCounty Farm Credit	\$0	\$0	\$0	2	0	0	0	0	0
2006	Albany, City of	Rainbow Restoration Inc - Central MN Office	\$10,000,000	\$0	\$10,000,000	4	101	6	5	0	0
2006	Albert Lea, City of	Bridon Cordage LLC	\$750,000	\$750,000	\$1,500,000	9	8	0	0	0	0
2006	Alexandria, City of	Steuzy Diesel	\$2,500,000	\$500,000	\$3,000,000	7	0	0	0	0	94
2006	Alexandria, City of	Apoll's Harley-Davidson Inc	\$2,900,000	\$100,000	\$3,000,000	15	0	0	0	0	0
2006	Argyle, City of	BMI Manufacturing Inc	\$0	\$0	\$0	6	4	0	0	0	0
2006	Argyle, City of	B.L.L. Mig Inc	\$0	\$0	\$0	4	2	0	0	0	0
2006	Baxter, City of	Stern Industries New Earth Technologies	\$688,054	\$118,780	\$786,834	5	14	0	0	0	0
2006	Baxter, City of	Lindar Corporation	\$1,000,000	\$25,000	\$1,025,000	13	0	0	0	0	0
2006	Belgrade, City of	Menard Inc	\$239,363	\$0	\$239,363	65	22	0	0	0	0
2006	Blue Earth, City of	Express Diagnostics International	\$0	\$0	\$0	10	0	0	0	0	0
2006	Brainerd, City of	E&P Manufacturing Services LLC - Earl Rasmussen LLC	\$1,000,000	\$100,000	\$1,100,000	5	3	0	0	0	0
2006	Brownville, City of	Fine Wood Structures	\$90,000	\$26,500	\$116,500	3	3	0	0	0	0
2006	Camp Release Township	J & D Construction Inc	\$0	\$0	\$0	5	5	0	0	0	0
2006	Clearbrook, City of	Chap's Welding & Fabrication	\$385,000	\$60,000	\$445,000	9	5	0	0	0	0
2006	Cohasset, City of	Dynamic Machining	\$0	\$20,458	\$20,458	2	2	0	0	0	0
2006	Cohasset, City of	Rapids Hydraulic & Machine Inc.	\$370,000	\$10,000	\$380,000	1	1	0	0	0	4
2006	Crosby, City of	United Packaging Inc.	\$155,000	\$0	\$155,000	8	8	0	0	0	0
2006	Detroit Lakes Development Authority	Doghouse Dye Works Inc	\$0	\$0	\$0	9	7	0	0	0	0
2006	Elbow Lake, City of	Ecklund Manufacturing	\$190,000	\$220,718	\$410,718	0	0	0	0	0	0
2006	Elmwood, City of	Cafe Favorites	\$1,500,000	\$0	\$1,500,000	5	0	0	0	0	6
2006	Faribault, City of	Hawkeye Food Service Distribution	\$3,500,000	\$500,000	\$4,000,000	8	0	0	0	0	0
2006	Fergus Falls, City of	Aldi Inc	\$2,565,625	\$0	\$2,565,625	42	0	0	0	0	77
2006	Fergus Falls, City of	Vinylite Holdings LLC dba Vinylite Windows	\$0	\$0	\$0	14	0	0	0	0	0
2006	Fergus Falls, City of	On-Demand Graphics Inc.	\$438,000	\$60,000	\$498,000	10	0	0	0	0	0
2006	Fergus Falls, City of	Specialty Products and Technology Inc.	\$800,000	\$2,700,000	\$3,500,000	13	0	0	0	0	0
2006	Fosston, City of	Mid Central Heating A/C Inc	\$287,178	\$0	\$287,178	0	20	0	0	0	0
2006	Glencoe, City of	Miller Manufacturing	\$3,000,000	\$0	\$3,000,000	11	0	0	0	0	4
2006	Grand Rapids EDA	Next Generation Ophthalmics Inc.	\$450,000	\$151,000	\$601,000	2	1	0	0	0	55
2006	Hawley, City of	Justesen's Holdings LLC aka Concord Equipment Inc	\$140,000	\$100,000	\$240,000	1	5	1	0	0	9
2006	Heron Lake, City of	Heron Lake Bio Energy	\$3,344,882	\$42,389	\$3,387,271	30	0	0	0	0	2
2006	Holdingford, City of	WMD Seapaniak Inc and Seapaniak Properties LLC	\$345,000	\$0	\$345,000	0	0	0	0	0	0
2006	Holdingford, City of	Berkon Industries LLC	\$0	\$0	\$0	4	0	0	0	0	0
2006	Lake City, City of	Federal-Mogul Powertrain Inc	\$0	\$0	\$0	25	25	0	0	0	0
2006	Le Center, City of	LJP Manufacturing Process	\$320,000	\$100,000	\$420,000	2	2	0	0	0	0
2006	Le Sueur, City of	GeoMask Inc	\$0	\$0	\$0	6	4	0	0	0	0
2006	Le Sueur County	Agri-Polymerix LLP	\$131,417	\$3,040,816	\$3,172,233	5	5	0	0	0	6
2006	Litchfield, City of	Bob Cal	\$2,952,951	\$876,595	\$3,829,546	40	54	0	0	0	114
2006	Little Falls, City of	Ensamhat Adventurero LLC	\$243,082	\$22,870	\$265,952	1	1	0	0	0	0
2006	Little Falls, City of	Phoenix Plastics LLC	\$530,000	\$180,000	\$710,000	6	6	1	0	0	0
2006	Little Falls, City of	PRO-FECT Automation Co-op	\$0	\$85,000	\$85,000	3	4	0	0	0	4
2006	Little Falls, City of	AnnerTrak Fleet Solutions LLC	\$0	\$0	\$0	3	3	0	0	0	0
2006	Little Falls Township	Ace Brothers Welding	\$750,000	\$100,000	\$850,000	4	10	0	0	0	0
2006	Luverne, City of	Luverne Welding & Machine Inc	\$0	\$48,500	\$48,500	2	0	0	0	0	0
2006	Luverne, City of	Between the Bridges Heating Ctr LLC	\$0	\$0	\$0	4	4	0	0	0	0
2006	Madison Lake, City of	Pro Fabrication Inc	\$1,627,702	\$273,700	\$1,901,402	20	34	0	0	0	0
2006	Mankato, City of	C.A.B. Construction Co	\$1,152,500	\$185,000	\$1,337,500	7	0	0	0	0	0
2006	Mankato, City of	MJ Biologics Inc	\$18,632,250	\$0	\$18,632,250	5	0	0	0	0	0
2006	McIntosh, City of	Northland Machine Inc.	\$0	\$16,000,000	\$16,000,000	2	2	0	0	0	0
2006	Melrose, City of	Commercial Contractors Company of Melrose Inc	\$380,000	\$185,000	\$565,000	3	4	0	0	0	0
2006	Moorhead, City of	Midwest Concrete Pumping LLC	\$550,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,550,000	6	11	0	0	1	12
2006	Moorhead, City of	ByteSpeed LLC	\$0	\$0	\$0	18	0	0	0	0	0
2006	Moorhead, City of	PROffitt Limited Partnership RDO Holdings Co and RDO Equipment Co	\$0	\$0	\$0	25	0	0	0	0	0
2006	Mora, City of	Greaville Marketing Concepts Inc	\$299,000	\$314,000	\$613,000	5	5	0	0	0	0
2006	Mountain Lake EDA	Mountain Power Hydraulics Inc.	\$1,600,000	\$0	\$1,600,000	5	5	1	1	19	0
2006	New Ulm, City of	Associated Milk Producers Inc	\$3,592,712	\$4,749,467	\$8,342,179	0	0	0	0	0	122

Summary of 2006 JOBZ Business Assistance Agreements Reported by Government Agencies in 2006

Report Year	Grantor Name	Recipient	CI Real	CI Personal	Total CI	FT Goals	FT Actual	PT Goals	PT Actual	RT Goals	RT Actual
2006	New York Mills, City of	Industrial Finishing Services Inc	\$306,158	\$114,980	\$421,138	6	6	0	0	0	0
2006	Northfield, City of	Speech Gear Inc	\$0	\$0	\$0	35	36	0	0	1	0
2006	Osakis, City of	Lindrite Precision Inc	\$550,000	\$0	\$550,000	4	8	0	0	0	11
2006	Oslo, City of	Bordline Tire Industries Inc	\$230,000	\$410,000	\$640,000	4	6	0	1	0	0
2006	Owatonna, City of	Phoenix Air Systems LLC	\$63,651	\$54,506	\$118,157	12	29	0	1	18	24
2006	Park Rapids, City of	Urbank Machine Inc	\$300,000	\$500,000	\$800,000	8	8	0	0	0	0
2006	Perham, City of	Baker Hogan Houx Architecture & Planning PC	\$31,116	\$0	\$31,116	2	2	0	0	5	5
2006	Perham, City of	Kit Masters	\$380,000	\$0	\$380,000	2	5	0	0	8	10
2006	Perham, City of	Swan Machine LLP	\$0	\$300,000	\$300,000	3	1	0	0	3	1
2006	Perham, City of	Industrial Finishing Service	\$1,100,000	\$900,000	\$2,000,000	30	0	0	0	0	0
2006	Perham, City of *	C Martin Media Inc	\$35,000	\$15,000	\$50,000	1	0	0	0	0	0
2006	Pine City, City of	Daka Corporation	\$315,800	\$0	\$315,800	5	5	0	0	0	0
2006	Pine River, City of	Trussworth Components LLC	\$0	\$0	\$0	10	0	0	0	0	0
2006	Pipestone, City of	Next Generation Power Systems Inc	\$80,000	\$10,000	\$90,000	2	0	0	0	0	0
2006	Pipestone, City of	Suzlon Rotor Corporation	\$0	\$0	\$0	23	0	0	0	0	0
2006	Pipestone, City of	Suzlon Wind Energy Corporation	\$0	\$0	\$0	5	0	0	0	0	0
2006	Red Wing Port Authority	DB Industries	\$2,389,492	\$380,000	\$2,749,492	52	229	0	0	206	206
2006	Red Wing Port Authority	Stencil Cutting & Supply	\$1,285,000	\$225,000	\$1,510,000	9	43	0	2	32	33
2006	Red Wing Port Authority	Food Service Specialties	\$0	\$500,000	\$500,000	10	45	0	4	32	35
2006	Redwood Falls, City of	SpecSys Inc	\$0	\$29,297	\$29,297	5	13	0	0	0	0
2006	Rochester, City of	Benchmark Electronics Inc	\$0	\$610,000	\$610,000	56	91	0	0	0	0
2006	Sartell, City of	Thomas Tool and Supply Inc	\$318,259	\$0	\$318,259	0	0	0	0	12	12
2006	St. Cloud, City of	Arctic Cold Storage	\$7,834,000	\$0	\$7,834,000	25	20	0	0	0	0
2006	St. Cloud, City of	Goldleaf Plastics	\$5,000,000	\$0	\$5,000,000	10	0	0	0	49	49
2006	St. Cloud, City of	Granite City Dental Lab	\$1,135,000	\$0	\$1,135,000	5	6	0	0	13	0
2006	St. Cloud, City of	Neigain Technologies	\$0	\$500,000	\$500,000	5	0	0	0	22	0
2006	St. James, City of	Westlin Automotive	\$66,250	\$777,483	\$843,733	25	31	0	0	0	0
2006	Sylvan Township	Whelan Woods Log Furniture	\$225,305	\$4,000	\$229,305	2	5	0	1	8	10
2006	Truman, City of	Schwan's Home Service Inc	\$700,000	\$0	\$700,000	3	0	0	0	20	20
2006	Wadena, City of	Drywall Supply	\$0	\$0	\$0	50	0	0	0	0	0
2006	Wells, City of *	Wells Co-Pack Foods Inc	\$1,250,000	\$1,500,000	\$2,750,000	25	0	0	0	0	0
2006	Willmar, City of	Willmar Fabrication LLC	\$0	\$0	\$0	80	0	0	0	0	0
2006	Willmar, City of	Life-Science Innovations LLC	\$0	\$0	\$0	57	0	0	0	0	0
2006	Willmar, City of	Epiopix LLC	\$0	\$0	\$0	79	29	0	0	0	0
2006	Willmar, City of	Nova-Tech Engineering Inc	\$0	\$0	\$0	5	9	0	0	0	0
2006	Winsted, City of	Millerbernd Design and Fabrication	\$0	\$0	\$0	10	0	0	0	0	0
2006	Winsted, City of	Millerbernd Manufacturing	\$0	\$0	\$0	10	0	0	0	0	0
2006	Winsted, City of	Advantage Mailing	\$0	\$0	\$0	40	0	0	0	0	0
2006	Winsted, City of	Five Star Direct LLC	\$775,000	\$812,000	\$1,587,000	5	0	0	0	0	0
2006	Winsted Township	AWI Manufacturing Inc	\$360,000	\$222,153	\$582,153	5	5	0	0	49	49
2006	Worthington, City of	Bedford Technology LLC	\$895,000	\$0	\$895,000	1	1	0	0	4	4
2006	Worthington, City of	Yourway Transportation	\$95,002,747	\$40,490,212	\$135,492,959	1249	1010	9	32	870	870
	Totals										

Note: CI = capital investment

CI Real = land and buildings

CI Personal = equipment

Total CI = land and buildings + equipment

PT = full-time job

RT = part-time job

* Indicates that grantor has submitted a MBAF final report.

Recipient terminated JOBZ prior to receiving benefits.

Middle River Township has not submitted a MBAF final report for MarkIt Country Grain. Recipient terminated JOBZ prior to receiving benefits.

Appendix L:

**Summary of 2004 JOBZ Business Assistance Reported by Government
Agencies in 2006**

Summary of 2004 JOBZ Business Agreements Reported by Government Agencies in 2006

Report Year	Grantor Name	Recipient	CI Real	CI Personal	Total CI	FT Goals	FT Actual	PT Goals	PT Actual	RT Goals	RT Actual
2006	Aitkin County	Millie Lacs Wild Rice Corp.	\$1,000,393	\$309,607	\$1,310,000	3	3	2	7	4	3
2006	Albert Lea, City of	Larson Mig Co Inc	\$2,350,000	\$150,000	\$2,500,000	25	25	45	0	0	0
2006	Albert Lea, City of	MF Technologies	\$2,300,000	\$0	\$2,300,000	6	6	6	0	0	0
2006	Albert Lea, City of	Soymor Cooperative Lechtin Agilis	\$4,300,000	\$26,904	\$4,326,904	7	7	7	0	0	0
2006	Albert Lea, City of	Trail's Truck Service Center Inc	\$1,550,000	\$0	\$1,550,000	6	6	13	0	0	9
2006	Albert Lea, City of	Soymor LLC Biodiesel	\$3,400,000	\$0	\$3,400,000	14	14	18	0	0	24
2006	Albert Lea, City of	Albert Lea Select Foods	\$22,800,000	\$9,270	\$22,809,270	20	20	23	0	0	0
2006	Albert Lea, City of	Profit Pro LLC*	\$8,000,000	\$4,000,000	\$12,000,000	50	296	0	0	0	0
2006	Alexandria, City of	TWF Industries	\$86,000	\$157,500	\$243,500	16	2	2	0	1	32
2006	Alexandria, City of	Pan-O-Gold Baking Company	\$1,800,000	\$200,000	\$2,000,000	5	5	5	0	0	0
2006	Alexandria, City of	SunOpta Aseptic Inc	\$325,000	\$10,000	\$335,000	0	0	0	0	0	5
2006	Austin, City of	Hanson Hauling & Excavating Inc	\$2,160,000	\$540,000	\$2,700,000	12	25	25	0	0	0
2006	Avon, City of	Columbia Gear Corp	\$223,172	\$373,949	\$597,121	2	10	0	0	0	0
2006	Bagley, City of	Real Stone Manufacturing	\$1,950,000	\$6,665,000	\$8,615,000	38	43	0	0	0	0
2006	Bagley, City of	Team Industries, Bagley Autobon Inc	\$525,000	\$560,000	\$1,085,000	10	14	0	0	1	0
2006	Brainerd, City of	Northstar Ethanol LLC	\$1,157,985	\$357,731	\$1,515,716	21	21	0	0	0	0
2006	Brewster, City of	Wausau Paper of MN LLC	\$700,000	\$58,408,100	\$59,108,100	30	43	0	0	0	0
2006	Brownsdale, City of	MN Soybean Processors and SD Soybean Processors aka MnSP	\$0	\$0	\$0	0	77	0	0	0	75
2006	Brownsdale, City of	Triple J Disposal Inc	\$23,307,265	\$39,858,915	\$63,166,180	40	49	0	0	0	0
2006	Byron, City of	Global Dairy Solutions LLC and Ferris Properties LLC	\$300,000	\$180,000	\$480,000	1	3	0	0	0	5
2006	Byron, City of	Leaf Guard Inc	\$1,135,050	\$0	\$1,135,050	1	2	0	0	0	1
2006	Byron, City of	Schmidt Printing	\$400,000	\$0	\$400,000	1	6	0	0	0	1
2006	Byron, City of	Quick Attach Attachments	\$4,034,023	\$0	\$4,034,023	1	30	0	0	5	0
2006	Cardos Township	Action Signs & Billboards	\$400,000	\$0	\$400,000	5	11	0	0	0	0
2006	Chandler, City of	MTD Aquisitions Inc	\$0	\$0	\$0	1	1	0	0	1	0
2006	Chisholm, City of	Harley Attachments LLC (k.a. Glenmac Inc	\$1,250,000	\$1,250,000	\$2,500,000	50	81	0	0	0	0
2006	Clarissa, City of	Northland Machine Inc.	\$0	\$0	\$0	5	5	0	0	0	0
2006	Coliasset, City of	Rapids Process Equipment	\$270,000	\$400,000	\$670,000	6	34	0	0	0	30
2006	Coliasset, City of	Eagle Hospitality	\$500,000	\$25,000	\$525,000	1	3	0	0	0	2
2006	Coltonwood, City of	Prairie Wild Enterprises Inc	\$0	\$0	\$0	25	0	0	0	0	0
2006	Coltonwood, City of	Extreme Panel Technologies Inc	\$0	\$100,000	\$100,000	6	1	0	0	8	9
2006	Crookston, City of	1st Mechanical	\$650,000	\$600,000	\$1,250,000	10	4	0	0	0	18
2006	Crookston, City of	Barrett Ag Services Inc	\$0	\$20,060,000	\$20,060,000	2	4	0	0	16	0
2006	Daggett Brook Township	Central Boiler Inc	\$525,335	\$2,047,688	\$2,573,023	7	7	0	0	0	0
2006	Dear Township	Quality Tool & Machine	\$1,599,854	\$2,155,941	\$3,755,795	58	24	0	0	8	0
2006	Detroit Lakes Development Authority	Team Industries Park Rapids-DL	\$350,000	\$200,000	\$550,000	0	2	0	0	0	4
2006	Duluth, City of	TrueRide Inc	\$441,000	\$684,000	\$1,125,000	4	13	0	0	0	0
2006	Duluth Seaway Port Authority	Goodlin Company	\$2,114,417	\$772,803	\$2,887,220	10	0	0	0	0	29
2006	East Grandfords, City of	Pracs Institute Ltd	\$1,000,000	\$75,000	\$1,075,000	0	0	0	0	0	19
2006	Fairmont, City of	Omega Nutrition**	\$2,500,000	\$750,000	\$3,250,000	18	47	0	0	0	74
2006	Faribault, City of	Sage Electrotechnics Inc	\$700,000	\$150,000	\$850,000	4	4	0	0	0	0
2006	Fergus Falls, City of	Rischard Marketing Inc. dba Tag Up	\$6,950,000	\$1,000,000	\$7,950,000	20	12	0	0	0	26
2006	Fergus Falls, City of	ShoreMaster Inc	\$355,000	\$88,000	\$443,000	4	4	0	0	0	0
2006	Fergus Falls, City of	Gaines Unlimited Inc	\$0	\$201,777	\$201,777	15	15	0	0	0	0
2006	Fergus Falls, City of	WA Kreich LLC dba Cafe Favorites	\$250,000	\$45,000	\$295,000	1	1	0	0	0	0
2006	Fergus Falls, City of	Innova Industries Inc	\$0	\$50,000	\$50,000	10	13	0	0	0	0
2006	Frazee, City of	Frazee Electric Incorporated	\$1,016,725	\$0	\$1,016,725	4	27	0	0	0	0
2006	Garfield, City of	Golberg Companies Inc	\$267,000	\$0	\$267,000	2	2	0	0	0	8
2006	Germessee Township	Bushmills Ethanol	\$300,000	\$240,000	\$540,000	7	7	0	0	0	0
2006	Glencoe, City of	Jungclaus Implement	\$0	\$0	\$0	25	31	0	0	0	0
2006	Granite Falls, City of	Granite Falls Energy LLC	\$1,800,000	\$0	\$1,800,000	10	5	0	0	0	17
2006	Hibbing, City of	Great Waters Aerospace Inc	\$55,000,000	\$5,000,000	\$60,000,000	30	30	0	0	0	0
2006	Holdingford, City of	Sunrise Gourmet Foods & Gifts Ltd	\$10,000	\$40,000	\$50,000	7	3	0	0	0	0
2006	Holdingford, City of	Two Rivers Enterprises, Inc	\$100,000	\$163,000	\$263,000	4	2	0	0	0	12
2006	Irondele Township	Douglas Machine Inc	\$346,000	\$150,000	\$496,000	4	4	0	0	0	0
2006			\$290,000	\$90,000	\$380,000	15	9	0	0	0	0

Summary of 2004 JOBZ Business Agreements Reported by Government Agencies in 2006

Report Year	Grantor Name	Recipient	CI Real	CI Personal	Total CI	FT Goals	FT Actual	PT Goals	PT Actual	RT Goals	RT Actual
2006	Jackson, City of	C & B Mig Inc. dba Hlich Doc	\$159,950	\$0	\$159,950	10	35	0	0	0	19
2006	Jackson County	Last Deck Inc	\$900,000	\$460,000	\$1,360,000	25	9	0	0	0	0
2006	Jackson County	Ziegler Inc	\$0	\$34,348,913	\$34,348,913	16	16	0	0	0	0
2006	Jackson County	AGCO Jackson Operations - Training Center	\$211,000	\$0	\$211,000	15	24	0	0	0	0
2006	Jackson County	AGCO Jackson Operations - Challenger Facility	\$1,562,000	\$1,167,000	\$2,729,000	67	113	0	0	0	0
2006	Kasson, City of	Zumbro River Brand Inc	\$2,500,000	\$0	\$2,500,000	2	2	0	0	0	0
2006	Kenyon, City of	Kenyon Ag Services	\$60,000	\$200,000	\$260,000	4	5	0	0	0	0
2006	Lake County	Williamette Corporation	\$105,000	\$325,000	\$430,000	10	19	0	0	0	0
2006	Lake Wilson, City of	Schmitz Grain Inc	\$1,381,000	\$0	\$1,381,000	4	5	0	0	0	0
2006	Lancaster, City of	Hanson Manufacturing	\$125,000	\$330,000	\$455,000	10	19	0	0	0	0
2006	Lancaster, City of	PodCo LLC	\$170,000	\$0	\$170,000	7	8	0	0	0	0
2006	Le Sueur, City of	Control Products Inc	\$600,000	\$0	\$600,000	25	40	0	0	0	0
2006	Litchfield, City of	Sheika Works Earth Tech Tables	\$575,000	\$0	\$575,000	2	6	0	0	0	0
2006	Litchfield, City of	Meeker Cooperative Light & Power Association	\$5,173,486	\$90,295	\$5,263,781	3	10	0	0	0	0
2006	Little Falls, City of	Vision Pharma Technologies LLC	\$185,000	\$3,015,000	\$3,200,000	12	10	0	0	0	0
2006	Little Falls, City of	Super Torquer Systems	\$10,000	\$66,427	\$76,427	2	2	0	0	0	0
2006	Little Falls, City of	Atomic Learning	\$296,382	\$36,620	\$333,002	2	10	0	0	0	0
2006	Little Falls, City of	Meyer Associates Teleservices	\$250,000	\$248,000	\$498,000	10	27	0	0	0	0
2006	Long Prairie, City of	Impact Technology	\$440,000	\$20,000	\$460,000	5	9	0	0	0	0
2006	Long Prairie, City of	Rway Trailers Inc	\$0	\$0	\$0	5	6	0	0	0	0
2006	Lucan, City of	Country Enterprises Inc	\$0	\$0	\$0	3	9	0	0	0	0
2006	Luverne, City of	Total Card, Inc	\$088,904	\$1,384,717	\$2,193,621	150	91	0	0	0	0
2006	Madison, City of	Madison Implement Inc	\$694,500	\$80,000	\$774,500	2	2	0	0	0	0
2006	Marshall, City of	Iowa Turkey Products Inc	\$2,298,718	\$1,471,235	\$3,769,953	200	265	0	0	0	0
2006	Marshall, City of	Runnings Supply Inc	\$1,048,804	\$0	\$1,048,804	0	0	0	0	0	0
2006	Marshall, City of	Runnings Distributing Inc	\$0	\$25,000	\$25,000	0	0	0	0	0	0
2006	Meerose, City of	Melrose Metalworks Inc	\$255,000	\$200,000	\$455,000	9	8	0	0	0	0
2006	Milan, City of	Twin Rivers Technology Inc	\$96,500	\$136,000	\$232,500	1	2	0	0	0	0
2006	Moorhead, City of	Niem Enterprises LLC	\$600,000	\$608,000	\$1,208,000	3	18	0	0	0	0
2006	Moorhead, City of	Midwest Construction Services Inc. et al.	\$0	\$0	\$0	39	0	0	0	0	0
2006	Morris, City of	Wilkins Industries Inc	\$600,000	\$0	\$600,000	0	0	0	0	0	0
2006	Morris, City of	KLJ Companies Inc	\$0	\$0	\$0	2	3	0	0	0	0
2006	Mountain Lake, City of	SBZ Inc. Conestoga Furniture Inc	\$5,000	\$65,000	\$70,000	0	12	0	0	0	0
2006	Murdock, City of	Dooley Petroleum Inc**	\$0	\$0	\$0	5	0	0	0	0	0
2006	New Ulm, City of	New Ulm Precision Tool Inc	\$412,776	\$330,924	\$743,700	2	2	0	0	0	0
2006	New Ulm, City of	Windings Inc	\$370,344	\$59,173	\$429,517	1	1	0	0	0	0
2006	New Ulm, City of	Medallion Cabinetry Inc	\$3,443,627	\$5,376,731	\$8,820,358	30	27	0	0	0	0
2006	New Ulm, City of	Beacon Promotions Inc	\$700,000	\$200,000	\$900,000	4	4	0	0	0	0
2006	North Branch, City of	Anderson Windows	\$6,286,000	\$3,928,557	\$10,214,557	102	34	0	0	0	0
2006	Olivia, City of	Baumgartner Environments Inc	\$245,000	\$0	\$245,000	0	0	0	0	0	0
2006	Owatonna, City of	Foamcraft Packaging Inc	\$933,850	\$486,520	\$1,420,370	3	11	0	0	0	0
2006	Park Rapids, City of	Minnesota Concrete Products Inc	\$532,000	\$982,000	\$1,514,000	15	2	0	0	0	0
2006	Park Rapids, City of	AKA Financial Inc	\$0	\$41,000	\$41,000	15	2	0	0	0	0
2006	Parham, City of	Barrel O Fun	\$6,300,000	\$6,218,404	\$12,518,404	22	48	0	0	0	0
2006	Pine City, City of	Advanced Design & Systems LLC	\$268,000	\$114,907	\$382,907	2	2	0	0	0	0
2006	Pine River Township	Hunt Utility Group LLC	\$632,328	\$133,674	\$766,002	1	2	0	0	0	0
2006	Pipestone, City of	Jandar LLC	\$649,000	\$0	\$649,000	0	0	0	0	0	0
2006	Proctor, City of	Bioverse Inc	\$0	\$150,000	\$150,000	1	5	0	0	0	0
2006	Proctor, City of	Thralow Inc	\$36,000	\$0	\$36,000	0	0	0	0	0	0
2006	Redwood Falls, City of	Airborne Data System	\$0	\$75,000	\$75,000	4	5	0	0	0	0
2006	Redwood Falls, City of	Vega Ventures	\$0	\$0	\$0	4	0	0	0	0	0
2006	Rochester, City of	Mayo Collaborative Services Inc MCSI	\$0	\$5,000,000	\$5,000,000	92	106	0	0	0	0
2006	Rochester, City of	4TD LLC. Pace Electronics	\$3,206,136	\$0	\$3,206,136	10	6	0	0	0	0
2006	Roseau, City of	QC Techniques	\$600,000	\$90,000	\$690,000	5	6	0	0	0	0
2006	Roseau, City of	Polaris Industries Inc	\$1,906,138	\$2,236,364	\$4,142,502	4	5	0	0	0	0

Summary of 2004 JOBZ Business Agreements Reported by Government Agencies in 2006

Report Year	Grantor Name	Recipient	CI Real	CI Personal	Total CI	FT Goals	FT Actual	PT Goals	PT Actual	RT Goals	RT Actual
2006	Sauk Centre, City of	Oak Ridge Regional Corrections Inc	\$3,000,000	\$0	\$3,000,000	10	10	1	0	12	0
2006	St. Cloud, City of	The Doolin Company	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$3,000,000	10	0	0	0	0	56
2006	St. Cloud, City of	Eastside Glass Company	\$1,600,000	\$0	\$1,600,000	4	4	6	0	0	19
2006	St. James, City of	Performance Plating	\$294,300	\$236,306	\$530,606	18	18	18	0	0	10
2006	St. Peter, City of	IHN III LLC	\$1,200,000	\$1,300,000	\$2,500,000	24	24	24	0	0	0
2006	St. Peter, City of	Excess Packaging Inc	\$25,000	\$82,000	\$107,000	24	25	25	0	0	0
2006	Staples, City of	Glander Tooling, Inc	\$140,000	\$120,000	\$260,000	4	4	5	0	0	4
2006	Staples, City of	Rotomolding Inc	\$830,000	\$175,000	\$1,005,000	2	2	6	0	0	2
2006	Troy Township	Beaver Creek Transport Inc	\$248,443	\$82,420	\$330,863	6	4	4	0	4	4
2006	Upsala, City of	Leading Edge Cabinets	\$985,000	\$239,880	\$1,224,880	0	0	0	0	0	0
2006	Wadena, City of	Willis Rubber Company	\$1,300,000	\$125,000	\$1,425,000	25	17	17	0	28	0
2006	Walker, City of	Stille Havn Hus Inc	\$2,400,000	\$2,300,000	\$4,700,000	4	4	42	0	9	14
2006	Waseca, City of	Next Innovations LTD	\$2,100,000	\$0	\$2,100,000	50	74	0	0	1	0
2006	Waseca, City of	Iron Inc	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000	2	2	0	0	0	3
2006	Wheaton, City of	Elegant Creations	\$250,000	\$0	\$250,000	7	7	7	0	0	0
2006	Wheaton, City of	Stoney Brook Wail Covering	\$250,000	\$0	\$250,000	4	13	0	0	0	0
2006	Winebago, City of	Zierke Built Mfg, Inc	\$250,000	\$1,400,000	\$1,650,000	40	42	0	0	0	0
2006	Winona, City of	Wenonah Garco	\$1,874,824	\$88,059	\$1,962,883	205	202	0	0	9	0
2006	Wyoming, City of	Polaris Industries Inc	\$0	\$0	\$0	205	202	0	0	9	0
Totals			131	\$230,789,229	\$223,969,311	2079	2659	7	133	716	1161

Note: * Recipient has failed to fulfill all goals and obligations in the business assistance agreement.

** JOBZ agreement terminated before recipient received JOBZ benefits.

CI = capital investment

CI Real = land and buildings

CI Personal = equipment

Total CI = land and buildings + equipment

FT = full-time job

PT = part-time job

RT = retained job

Appendix M:

**Summary of 2005 Biozone Business Assistance Agreements Reported by
Government Agencies in 2006**

Summary of 2005 Blozone Business Assistance Agreements Reported by Government Agencies in 2006

Report Year	Grantor Name	Recipient	FT Goals	FT Actual	PT Goals	RT Goals	RT Actual
2006	Mpls Community Planning and Economic Development	Excorp Medical Inc	0	0	0	0	0
2006	Saint Paul, City of	Gel-Del Technologies Inc	3	4	0	0	0
2006	Saint Paul, City of	UEL Real Estate Holdings LLC	0	0	0	0	0
2006	Saint Paul, City of	Prism Research LLC	18	22	0	0	0
2006	Saint Paul, City of	Innovative Surface Technologies LLC	7	7	0	0	0
	Totals		5	28	33	0	0

Appendix N:

**Summary of 2004 Biozone Business Assistance Agreements Reported by
Government Agencies in 2006**

Summary of 2004 Biozone Business Assistance Agreements Reported by Government Agencies in 2006

Report Year	Grantor Name	Recipient	FT Goals	FT Actual	PT Goals	RT Goals	RT Actual
2006	Mpls Community Planning and Economic Development	Shepard Medical Company	27	0	0	1.9	0
2006	Mpls Community Planning and Economic Development	Twin Star Medical Inc	0	0	0	1.6	0
	Totals	2	27	0	0	3.5	0

Corporate Accountability for Tax Expenditures Act 93-552

Annual Project Progress Reports for 2006

Ag Vantage, Inc.

Princeton

I. Development Assistance Agreements Awarded in 2006

	Assistance Amount	Agreement Number
State Treasurer's Small Business STEP	150,000.00	06 TR10002

II. Organization/Project Site Information

Chief Officer or authorized designee	Ronald Hagemann
Title	President
Address	P.O. Box 2129
	Loves Park, IL 61132
	USA
Phone	815-979-9447
E-mail	ron_agvantage@yahoo.com
Standard Industrial Classification Number (SIC#)	0115
North American Industry Classification System (NAICS)	541710

III. Did the recipient's use of the State Funding reduce employment at any other site in Illinois? No

Corporate Accountability for Tax Expenditures Act 93-552

Annual Project Progress Reports for 2006

Ag Vantage, Inc.

Princeton

IV. Job Creation and Retention Data

Program Type

State Treasurer's Small Business STEP

Agreement Number

06 TR10002

Assistance Amount

150,000.00

Report Header Definitions	
Wages	Average Annual Salary by Classifications
Full-Time	Permanent Full-Time
+/-	Gain or (Loss)

Number of Employees At the Time of Application

Job Classification	Avg Annual Salary	Positions	Full-Time	Part-Time	Temporary
NONE	0.00	0	0	0	0
Totals:		0	0	0	0

Number of Employees As of the Date of the Report (12/31/2006)

Job Classification	Avg Annual Salary	Positions	Full-Time	+/-	Part-Time	+/-	Temporary	+/-
NONE	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals:		0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Number of Jobs Stated in the Agreement that would be Created at the Site as a Result of Assistance

Job Classification	Avg Annual Salary	Positions	Full-Time	Part-Time	Temporary
Manufacturing	40000.00	2	2	0	0
Totals:		2	2	0	0

Corporate Accountability for Tax Expenditures Act 93-552

Annual Project Progress Reports for 2006

Ag Vantage, Inc.

Princeton

Number of Jobs Stated in the Agreement that would be Retained at the Site as a Result of Assistance

Job Classification	Avg Annual Salary	Positions	Full-Time	Part-Time	Temporary
NONE	0.00	0	0	0	0
Totals:		0	0	0	0

Number of Full-Time Permanent Employees Anticipated To Be Hired at this Site on 12/31/2006

Job Classification	Anticipated Starting Dates	Number of Positions to be Hired in this Category	Average Annual Wage per New Employee	Total New Payroll to be Created
Administration	12/31/2007	1	\$120,000.00	\$120,000.00
Manufacturing	12/31/2007	3	\$40,000.00	\$120,000.00
Totals:		4		\$240,000.00

Job Creation Data Explanatory Notes

If the change (gain/loss) in the number of full-time permanent employees as of the date of the report plus the number of full-time permanent employees anticipated to be hired after date of the report does not equal the number of full-time permanent employees stated in the Agreement that would be created at the site as a result of the assistance, then please explain why not:

Still in R and D for project

Annual Report of Recaptures Provision by Program

*For Jan.1, 2004 to Dec. 31, 2006
Published June1, 2007*

Business Development Public Infrastructure Program

Total number of companies that have received benefits as defined within the Act since Jan. 1, 2004	9
Total number of recipients in violation of the terms of the development agreement as of Dec. 31, 2006	1
Total number of completed recapture efforts since Jan. 1, 2004	0
Total number of recapture efforts initiated since Jan. 1, 2004	1
Total number of waivers granted since Jan. 1, 2004	0

EDGE Tax Credit

Total number of companies that have received benefits as defined within the Act since Jan. 1, 2004	139
Total number of recipients in violation of the terms of the development agreement as of Dec. 31, 2006	0
Total number of completed recapture efforts since Jan. 1, 2004	0
Total number of recapture efforts initiated since Jan. 1, 2004	0
Total number of waivers granted since Jan. 1, 2004	0

Employee Training Investment Program

Total number of companies that have received benefits as defined within the Act since Jan. 1, 2004	100
Total number of recipients in violation of the terms of the development agreement as of Dec. 31, 2006	6
Total number of completed recapture efforts since Jan. 1, 2004	12
Total number of recapture efforts initiated since Jan. 1, 2004	18
Total number of waivers granted since Jan. 1, 2004	0

Enterprise Zone Expanded M&E Sales Tax Exemption

Total number of companies that have received benefits as defined within the Act since Jan. 1, 2004	11
Total number of recipients in violation of the terms of the development agreement as of Dec. 31, 2006	0
Total number of completed recapture efforts since Jan. 1, 2004	0
Total number of recapture efforts initiated since Jan. 1, 2004	0
Total number of waivers granted since Jan. 1, 2004	0

Annual Report of Recaptures Provision by Program

*For Jan.1, 2004 to Dec. 31, 2006
Published June1, 2007*

Enterprise Zone State Utility Tax Exemption

Total number of companies that have received benefits as defined within the Act since Jan. 1, 2004	12
Total number of recipients in violation of the terms of the development agreement as of Dec. 31, 2006	0
Total number of completed recapture efforts since Jan. 1, 2004	0
Total number of recapture efforts initiated since Jan. 1, 2004	0
Total number of waivers granted since Jan. 1, 2004	0

High Impact Business Designation

Total number of companies that have received benefits as defined within the Act since Jan. 1, 2004	7
Total number of recipients in violation of the terms of the development agreement as of Dec. 31, 2006	0
Total number of completed recapture efforts since Jan. 1, 2004	0
Total number of recapture efforts initiated since Jan. 1, 2004	0
Total number of waivers granted since Jan. 1, 2004	0

Large Business Development Assistance Program

Total number of companies that have received benefits as defined within the Act since Jan. 1, 2004	36
Total number of recipients in violation of the terms of the development agreement as of Dec. 31, 2006	1
Total number of completed recapture efforts since Jan. 1, 2004	4
Total number of recapture efforts initiated since Jan. 1, 2004	5
Total number of waivers granted since Jan. 1, 2004	0

Kuczenski, Tracy

From: Sen.Sullivan
Sent: Tuesday, May 29, 2007 10:14 AM
To: Shannon, Pam; Mueller, Janice; Sundberg, Christopher; Kuczenski, Tracy; Block, Cindy; Cekosh, Nick; Esser, Eric; Esser, Jennifer; Frings, Roger; Groves Batiza, Monica; Guardiola, Diana; Johannes, Sarah; Popp, Sarah; Prentiss, Mike; Rep.Cullen; Rep.Kerkman; Rep.Parisi; Rep.Rhoades; Rosser, Lewis; Sen.Cowles; Sen.Decker; Sen.Lasee; Sen.Lassa; Sen.Sullivan; Smith, Ryan; Vander Meer2, John; Vrieze, Charlene; Warren, Linda; Whitmore, Lori; Williams, Ritch; Worcester, Barbara
Cc: Matthews, Pam; Chrisman, James
Subject: JLAC Economic Development Working Group

JLAC Working Group Participants:

We will have the first meeting of the Joint Legislative Audit Committee's Economic Development Working Group on Tuesday, June 5th, at 1:00 p.m.. The meeting will be held at the Legislative Council's Large Conference Room, 1 E. Main St., Suite 401.

We will begin our discussion with a working draft that is being prepared by LRB. The draft will consist of the recommendations from LAB's audit and suggestions made from testimony at our recent public hearing.

Please RSVP to Lewis Rosser (6-2512) in my office by Thursday May 31, so that we can properly notice the meeting if necessary.

Thanks,

Jim Sullivan

Economic Development Audit Working Group Meeting
June 5, 2007

- I. Welcome, introductions, and overview remarks
- II. Presentation and discussion of preliminary draft
- III. Presentation and discussion of other options for legislative action
- IV. Discussion of process for next steps
- V. Scheduling next meeting
- VI. Adjournment

**Items for Legislative Consideration Based on
Legislative Audit Bureau Report 06-9:
A Review of State Economic Development Programs**

Consolidation of Active Programs

In addition to the inactive and unfunded economic development programs that could be eliminated, there are some duplicative active programs that could be eliminated or consolidated.

- A. The Legislature could consider consolidating or eliminating three programs administered by the Department of Commerce that are funded with gaming revenue and duplicate other programs:

1) Economic Diversification Early Planning Grants (program 55, from report 06-9) – From fiscal year (FY) 2001-02 through FY 2004-05, it provided 11 grants totaling \$77,500 to individuals and businesses to develop business plans for projects that will remediate contaminated property or diversify the local economy. The program's purpose duplicates other planning programs for individuals and businesses, including: Commerce's Economic Impact Early Planning Grants (program 57), Rural Early Planning Grants (program 84), and Urban Early Planning Grants (program 107).

options:

1. eliminate
A1, A2, A3 &
note \$
elsewhere

2. consolidate
A1, A2, A3

3. send some
\$ from A1,
A2, and/or
A3 to
one of the
programs
under A1, A2
or A3.

2) Economic Diversification Grants and Loans (program 56) – From FY 2001-02 through FY 2004-05, it provided 34 grants and loans totaling \$4.9 million to businesses to remediate contaminated property or to diversify the local economy. Eligible activities include purchasing land, constructing buildings, remodeling facilities, or purchasing equipment. This program's purpose duplicates several other programs, including: Commerce's Brownfields Grant Program (program 25), Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Blight Elimination and Brownfields Redevelopment (program 40), CDBG Economic Development Grants and Loans (program 42), Rural Economic Development Grants and Loans (program 85), and Wisconsin Development Fund - Major Economic Development Program (program 101); as well as the Brownfield Site Assessment Grant Program (program 112), which is administered by the Department of Natural Resources (DNR).

3) Economic Impact Early Planning Grants (program 57) – From FY 2001-02 through FY 2004-05, it provided 12 grants totaling \$35,100 to individuals and businesses negatively affected by casino gaming to develop business plans. The program's purpose duplicates other planning programs for individuals and businesses, including: Commerce's Economic Diversification Early Planning Grants (program 55), Rural Early Planning Grants (program 84), and Urban Early Planning Grants (program 107).

eligibility criteria → reduce funding of these programs and direct the gaming money elsewhere
→ require commerce to establish eligibility criteria and, in annual report, why \$ directed

[→ This is in addition to what JFC did in motion 300]

duplicative of effort

B. The Legislature could consider consolidating four brownfields remediation programs, which are administered by Commerce and DNR:

*Roesler involved in creation of these.
Today, Comm & DNR working well. DNR getting "straight A." effort at home*

- 1) The Brownfields Grant Program (program 25) is administered by Commerce. From FY 2001-02 through FY 2004-05, it provided 47 grants totaling \$19.4 million to businesses, local governments, and organizations to remediate contaminated industrial and commercial properties.
- 2) CDBG Blight Elimination and Brownfields Redevelopment (program 40) is administered by Commerce. From FY 2001-02 through FY 2004-05, it provided ten grants totaling \$3.2 million to local governments to assess and remediate contamination on commercial and industrial sites.

Close communication

w/ DNR?

- 3) Brownfield Site Assessment Grant Program (program 112) is administered by DNR. From FY 2001-02 through FY 2004-05, it provided 196 grants totaling \$6.8 million to local governments to assess and remediate contaminated industrial and commercial properties.

- 4) Dry Cleaner Environmental Response Fund Program (program 113) is administered by DNR. From FY 2001-02 through FY 2004-05, it provided 82 grants totaling \$3.9 million to investigate and remediate contamination from dry cleaning solvents at current and former dry cleaning facilities and to prepare these sites for future redevelopment.

↳ should eventually go away (a small family-oriented program that clean up ...) but not yet?

C. The Legislature could consider consolidating several grant and loan programs for minority business development, which are administered by Commerce:

into one program

econ.

programs

w/ all of the

functions currently, all part of one appropriation.

- 1) Minority Business Development Grants and Loans (program 66) – From FY 2001-02 through FY 2004-05, it provided 25 loans totaling \$1.3 million to minority-owned businesses to assist with start-up and expansion costs. Funds may be used for operating expenses or to purchase land, pay construction costs, acquire existing businesses, or purchase equipment.

- 2) Minority Business Revolving Loan Fund Grants (program 69) – From FY 2001-02 through FY 2004-05, it provided one grant for \$100,000 to a local economic development corporation. Funds may be used to establish, expand, or continue a revolving loan fund that benefits minority-owned businesses or minority group members.

- 3) Minority Early Planning Grants (program 70) – From FY 2001-02 through FY 2004-05, it provided 98 grants totaling \$298,400 to minority-owned businesses to develop business plans.

- 4) Minority Entrepreneurial Training Grants (program 71) – From FY 2001-02 through FY 2004-05, it provided 221 grants totaling \$179,100 for tuition reimbursement to prospective minority business owners. Reimbursement may be for up to 75 percent of the cost of attending entrepreneurial training classes provided by UW Small Business Development Centers.

Rep. Jes. – hold for now.

1st alternative:
candidate.

The Legislature could consider consolidating or eliminating three similar programs that Commerce has created under the authority granted in s. 560.155, Wis. Stats., for the establishment of employee training grants. Businesses may use the grants to train new or existing employees. Eligible training costs are tuition and materials directly related to the employee's work requirements, but not wages. The three programs include:

Why they did so? Don't know.

Who is doing these training programs

- Minority Business Employees Skills Training (program 67). From FY 2001-02 through FY 2004-05, it provided three grants totaling \$15,000.
- Rural Business Employees Skills Training (program 83). From FY 2001-02 through FY 2004-05, it provided 27 grants totaling \$131,500.
- Business Employees Skills Training (program 97). From FY 2001-02 through FY 2004-05, it provided 48 grants totaling \$199,500.

Why is this \$ program divided into these three programs.

2nd alternative:
send \$ to

These programs duplicate the Workforce Advancement Training Grants program administered by the Wisconsin Technical College System, which was created by 2005 Wisconsin Act 25 and can also be used by businesses to train employees. A total of \$2.0 million in GPR was appropriated for this program for the 2005-07 biennium.

big \$

Could collapse these programs into commerce?

3 mil in 2007-09

E. Finally, the Legislature could consider consolidating seven development zone programs administered by Commerce. Every part of Wisconsin is included in at least one type of development zone, and tax credits awarded to businesses under these programs are based on similar requirements. All zone programs award tax credits for job creation. With the exception of the Enterprise Zone Program, all programs also provide credits for environmental remediation expenses, and four of the programs—Airport Development Zone Program, Agricultural Development Zone Program, Development Opportunity Zone Program, and Technology Zone Program—provide tax credits for capital investments.

new — 1) Airport Development Zone Program (created by 2005 Wisconsin Act 487) – offers tax credits to businesses that locate or expand within zones and that intend to construct or expand an airport. The program is in development, but it will award credits based on the number of full-time jobs created, capital investments, and environmental remediation expenses. Zones will be designated in areas that are economically disadvantaged.

2) Agricultural Development Zone Program (program 47) – offers tax credits to agricultural businesses that locate or expand in one of four designated zones.

Zones are very popular.

every area in the state is included in one zone — but some zones have criteria that are not flexible.
hodge-podge of criteria.
mixed purposes etc

what's more important: specific subject area of zone or giving commerce flexibility to place credits where they see the greatest need.

Credits are awarded based on the number of full-time jobs created or retained, capital investments, and environmental remediation expenses. The 18 counties not designated as technology zones are designated as agricultural development zones. A total of \$839,800 in tax credits were awarded from 2001 to 2004.

3) Community Development Zone Program (program 48) – offers tax credits to businesses that locate or expand in a designated zone. Credits are awarded based on the number of full-time jobs created or retained and environmental remediation expenses. Twenty-two zones have been designated in areas that are economically disadvantaged. A total of \$7.3 million in tax credits were awarded from 2001 to 2004.

4) Development Opportunity Zone Program (program 49) – offers tax credits to businesses that locate or expand in a legislatively designated zone. Credits are awarded based on the number of full-time jobs created or retained, capital investments, and environmental remediation expenses. Six zones have been designated by statute. A total of \$4.7 million in tax credits were awarded from 2001 to 2004.

new — 5) Enterprise Zones Program (created by 2005 Wisconsin Act 361) – offers tax credits to businesses that locate or expand in a designated zone. The program is in development, but it will award credits based on job creation, wages paid, and employee training costs. Zones will be designated in areas that are economically disadvantaged.

6) Enterprise Development Zone Program (program 50) – offers tax credits to businesses that locate or expand in a designated zone. Credits are awarded based on the number of full-time jobs created or retained and environmental remediation expenses. As of June 30, 2005, 62 zones had been designated in areas that are economically disadvantaged. A total of \$41.2 million in tax credits were awarded from 2001 to 2004.

7) Technology Zone Program (program 51) – offers tax credits to technology-based businesses that locate or expand in one of eight designated zones. Credits are awarded based on the number of full-time jobs created or retained, capital investments, environmental remediation expenses, property taxes paid, and new employee wages. The 54 counties not designated as agricultural development zones are designated as technology zones. A total of \$2.3 million in tax credits were awarded from 2001 to 2004.

What are criteria?
What zones are full?
create chart showing duplicative stuff.

full.

one pot of credits to be distributed to — selected by Comm.
move \$/credits where full.

Improving Accountability for Grant and Loan Programs

To enhance accountability for the expenditure of public funds, the Legislature could place specific requirements on agencies that award economic development grants and loans, which include the departments of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection;

Commerce; Natural Resources; Tourism; Transportation; the Wisconsin Housing and Economic Development Authority; and some University of Wisconsin institutions.

The Legislature could require that all agencies develop clear, measurable goals tied to the grant and loan funds they award. This could, for example, include requiring agencies to:

- establish specific programmatic goals for each of the grant and loan programs they administer and ensure that each goal is directly related to specific legislative policy objectives;
- establish at least one quantifiable benchmark for each program goal;
- specify in contracts with grant and loan recipients the type of information on actual performance that recipients will be required to report, such as the number of jobs created and employees trained, as well as specify the frequency and format for reporting the required information;
- compare information on the projected or anticipated results of each goal with actual outcomes; and
- for a sample of grants and loans, independently verify the information contained in recipients' reports on an annual basis.

Increasing Transparency

Currently, state agencies provide limited information to the public about projects receiving state economic development assistance. Other states, including Minnesota and Illinois, have implemented measures to inform taxpayers about how state funds are used to assist businesses, local governments, and other organizations.

To improve the transparency in the use of state funds for economic development projects, the Legislature could, for example, require Commerce to include in its annual legislative report on economic development programs information on:

- the number of grants made;
- the number of loans made;
- the amount of each grant and loan;
- the recipient of each award; and
- the total amount of grants and loans received by each recipient.

To be most useful, this information could be combined with data on program performance and compiled into a report published on the Internet.

Program Funding Sources

<u>Program Number</u>	<u>Program Name</u>	<u>Funding Source</u>	<u>Funding Detail</u>
25	Brownfields Grant Program	Segregated Revenue	Environmental Fund—Fees and Surcharges
40	CDBG Blight Elimination and Brownfields Redevelopment	Federal Revenue	-
42	CDBG Economic Development Grants and Loans	Federal Revenue	-
47	Agricultural Development Zone Program	General Purpose Revenue (GPR)	-
48	Community Development Zone Program	GPR	-
49	Development Opportunity Zone Program	GPR	-
50	Enterprise Development Zone Program	GPR	-
51	Technology Zone Program	GPR	-
55	Economic Diversification Early Planning Grants	Program Revenue (PR)	Tribal Gaming Revenue
56	Economic Diversification Grants and Loans	PR	Tribal Gaming Revenue
57	Economic Impact Early Planning Grant	PR	Tribal Gaming Revenue
66	Minority Business Development Grants and Loans	GPR, PR	PR – Loan Repayments
67	Minority Business Employees Skills Training	GPR, PR	PR – Loan Repayments
69	Minority Business Revolving Loan Fund Grants	GPR, PR	PR – Loan Repayments
70	Minority Early Planning Grants	GPR, PR	PR – Loan Repayments
71	Minority Entrepreneurial Training Grants	GPR, PR	PR – Loan Repayments
83	Rural Business Employees Skills Training	GPR, PR	PR – Loan Repayments
84	Rural Early Planning Grants	GPR, PR	PR – Loan Repayments
85	Rural Economic Development Grants and Loans	GPR, PR	PR – Loan Repayments
97	Business Employees Skills Training	GPR, PR	PR – Loan Repayments
101	Wisconsin Development Fund – Major Economic Development Program	GPR, PR	PR – Loan Repayments
107	Urban Early Planning Grants	GPR, PR	PR – Loan Repayments
112	Brownfield Site Assessment Grant Program	Segregated Revenue	Environmental Fund—Fees and Surcharges
113	Dry Cleaner Environmental Response Fund Program	Segregated Revenue	Dry Cleaner Environmental Response Fund—Fees

No 9

12 (1) Specific goals for the program that are tied to statutory policy objectives.

1 (2) ^{Establish} ~~At minimum~~ ^{least} one quantifiable benchmark for each program goal described
2 in sub. (1). ✓

3 (3) ~~A requirement~~ that each contract with a recipient of a grant or loan under
4 the program specify the frequency and format of reports to be submitted ^{by the recipient} to the
5 department and the performance measures to be included in the reports.

6 (4) ^{Establish} ~~A~~ method for evaluating the projected or anticipated results of the grant or
7 loan program with actual outcomes as determined by evaluating the information
8 described in subs. (1) and (2). ✓

9 (5) ~~A requirement that the board~~ ^{department} annually and independently verify, from a
10 sample of grants and loans, the accuracy of the information required to be reported
11 under sub. (3). ✓

12 **SECTION 3.** 23.169 of the statutes is created to read:

13 **23.169 Economic development assistance reporting.** Annually, no later
14 than October 1, the department shall submit to the legislature under s. 13.172 (2) a
15 comprehensive report assessing economic development programs administered by
16 the department. The report shall include all of the information required under s.
17 560.01 (2) (am). ✓ The department shall collaborate with the department of commerce
18 to make readily accessible to the public on an Internet-based system the information
19 required under this section.

20 **INSERT 9-23**

21 **SECTION 4.** 36.09 (1) (am) of the statutes is created to read:

22 36.09 (1) (am) The board ^{shall establish} ~~shall~~ establish, in consultation with the department
23 of commerce, ^{shall establish} ~~clear and measurable~~ ^{do all of the following} goals for each economic development program
24 administered by the board, including all of the following:

25 1. ^{Establish clear and measurable} ~~Specific~~ goals for the program that are tied to statutory policy objectives.

- 1 2. ^{Establish least} ~~At minimum~~ one quantifiable benchmark for each program goal described
2 in subd. 1.

3 ~~3. A requirement that each contract with a recipient of a grant or loan under~~
4 ~~the program specify the frequency and format of reports to be submitted to the board~~
5 ~~and the performance measures to be included in the reports.~~

- 6 4. ^{Establish} A method for evaluating the projected or anticipated results of the grant or
7 loan program with actual outcomes as determined by evaluating the information
8 described in subds. 1. and 2. ✓

- 9 5. ~~A requirement that the board~~ annually and independently verify, from a
10 sample of grants and loans, the accuracy of the information required to be reported
11 under subd. 3. ✓

12 ~~SECTION 5:~~ 36.11 (29r) of the statutes is created to read:

13 36.11 (29r) ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE REPORTING. Annually, no later
14 than October 1, the board shall submit to the legislature under s. 13.172 (2) a
15 comprehensive report assessing economic development programs administered by
16 the board. The report shall include all of the information required under s. 560.01
17 (2) (am). The board shall collaborate with the department of commerce to make
18 readily accessible to the public on an Internet-based system the information
19 required under this subsection.

20 ~~SECTION 6:~~ 38.04 (1m) of the statutes is created to read:

21 38.04 (1m) PROGRAMMATIC GOALS FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT GRANTS AND LOANS.

22 The board ^{shall establish} ~~shall establish~~, in consultation with the department of commerce, ^{clear} ~~clear~~

23 ^{do all of the following} ~~and measurable goals~~ for each economic development program administered by the

24 board, including all of the following:

- 25 (a) Specific goals for the program that are tied to statutory policy objectives.

^{Establish clear and measurable}

1 (b) ^{Establish least} ~~At minimum~~ one quantifiable benchmark for each program goal described
2 in par. (a).

3 (c) A requirement that each contract with a recipient of a grant or loan under
4 the program specify the frequency and format of reports to be submitted to the board
5 and the performance measures to be included in the reports.

6 (d) ^{Establish} ~~A~~ method for evaluating the projected or anticipated results of the grant or
7 loan program with actual outcomes as determined by evaluating the information
8 described in pars. (a) and (b).

9 (e) A requirement that the board annually and independently verify, from a
10 sample of grants and loans, the accuracy of the information required to be reported
11 under par. (c).

12 ~~SECTION 7.~~ 38.04 (10m) of the statutes is created to read:

13 38.04 (10m) ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE REPORTING. Annually, no later
14 than October 1, the board shall submit to the legislature under s. 13.172 (2) a
15 comprehensive report assessing economic development programs administered by
16 the board. The report shall include all of the information required under s. 560.01
17 (2) (am). The board shall collaborate with the department of commerce to make
18 readily accessible to the public on an Internet-based system the information
19 required under this subsection.

20 ~~SECTION 8.~~ 41.11 (1g) of the statutes is created to read:

21 41.11 (1g) PROGRAMMATIC GOALS FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT GRANTS AND LOANS.

22 The department ^{shall establish} ~~shall establish~~ in consultation with the department of commerce,
23 ^{shall establish} ~~shall establish~~ ^{do all of the following} clear and measurable goals for each economic development grant or loan program
24 administered by the department, including all of the following:

25 (a) ^{Establish clear and measurable} ~~Specific~~ goals for the program that are tied to statutory policy objectives.

(b) ^{least} At ~~minimum~~, one quantifiable benchmark for each program goal described
^{Establish} in par. (a).

(c) A requirement that each contract with a recipient of a grant or loan under the program specify the frequency and format of reports to be submitted to the department and the performance measures to be included in the reports.

(d) ^{Establish} A method for evaluating the projected or anticipated results of the grant or loan program with actual outcomes as determined by evaluating the information described in pars. (a) and (b).

(e) ~~5. A requirement that the department~~ annually and independently verify, from a sample of grants and loans, the accuracy of the information required to be reported under par. (c).

~~SECTION 9.~~ 41.11 (1r) of the statutes is created to read:

41.11 (1r) ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE REPORTING. Annually, no later than October 1, the department shall submit to the legislature under s. 13.172 (2) a comprehensive report assessing economic development programs administered by the department. The report shall include all of the information required under s. 560.01 (2) (am). The department shall collaborate with the department of commerce to make readily accessible to the public on an Internet-based system the information required under this ^{Subsection} paragraph.

~~SECTION 10.~~ 84.01 (6m) of the statutes is created to read:

84.01 (6m) PROGRAMMATIC GOALS FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT GRANTS AND LOANS.

The department ^{shall establish} shall ~~establish~~ do all of the following clear and measurable goals for each economic development grant or loan program administered by the department, including all of the following:

(a) Specific goals for the program that are tied to statutory policy objectives.

^{Establish} Establish clear and measurable

1 (b) ^{Establish} ~~At minimum~~ ^{least} one quantifiable benchmark for each program goal described
2 in par. (a).

3 (c) A requirement that each contract with a recipient of a grant or loan under
4 the program specify the frequency and format of reports to be submitted to the
5 department and the performance measures to be included in the reports.

6 (d) ^{Establish} A method for evaluating the projected or anticipated results of the grant or
7 loan program with actual outcomes as determined by evaluating the information
8 described in pars. (a) and (b).

9 (e) A requirement that the department annually and independently verify,
10 from a sample of grants and loans, the accuracy of the information required to be
11 reported under par. (c).

12 ~~SECTION 11.~~ 84.01 (11m) of the statutes is created to read:

13 84.01 (11m) ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE REPORTING. Annually, no later
14 than October 1, the department shall submit to the legislature under s. 13.172 (2) a
15 comprehensive report assessing economic development programs administered by
16 the department. The report shall include all of the information required under s.
17 560.01 (2) (am). The department shall collaborate with the department of commerce
18 to make readily accessible to the public on an Internet-based system the information
19 required under this subsection.

20 ~~SECTION 12.~~ 93.07 (18) of the statutes is created to read:

21 93.07 (18) PROGRAMMATIC GOALS FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT GRANTS AND LOANS.

22 To establish, ^{to establish} in consultation with the department of commerce, ~~clear and~~
23 ~~measurable goals~~ ^{all of the following} for each economic development grant or loan program
24 administered by the department ^{of agriculture, trade and consumer protection} ~~including all of the following~~:

25 (a) Specific goals for the program that are tied to statutory policy objectives.

^{Establish clear and measurable}

Establish
least
1 (b) ~~At minimum~~ one quantifiable benchmark for each program goal described
2 in par. (a).

3 (c) A requirement that each contract with a recipient of a grant or loan under
4 the program specify the frequency and format of reports to be submitted to the
5 department and the performance measures to be included in the reports.

Establish
6 (d) ~~A method~~ for evaluating the projected ~~or anticipated~~ results of the grant or
7 loan program with actual outcomes as determined by evaluating the information
8 described in pars. (a) and (b).

Establish
9 (e) ~~A requirement that the department~~ annually and independently verify,
10 from a sample of grants and loans, the accuracy of the information required to be
11 reported under par. (c).

12 ~~SECTION 13.~~ 93.07 (20) of the statutes is created to read:

13 93.07 (20) ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE REPORTING. Annually, no later
14 than October 1, to submit to the legislature under s. 13.172 (2) a comprehensive
15 report assessing economic development programs administered by the department.
16 The report shall include all of the information required under s. 560.01 (2) (am). The
17 department shall collaborate with the department of commerce to make readily
18 accessible to the public on an Internet-based system the information required under
19 this subsection.

20 **INSERT 10-6**

21 ~~SECTION 14.~~ 234.032 of the statutes is created to read:

22 **234.032 Programmatic goals for economic development grants and**
23 **loans.** The authority ~~shall establish~~ *do all of the following* in consultation with the department of
24 commerce, ~~clear and measurable goals~~ *shall establish* for each economic development grant or loan
25 program administered by the authority, ~~including all of the following:~~

Establish clear and measurable

- 1 *Establish* (1) *Specific* goals for the program that are tied to statutory policy objectives.
2 *least* (2) At ~~minimum~~, one quantifiable benchmark for each program goal described
3 in sub. (1).

4 (3) A requirement that each contract with a recipient of a grant or loan under
5 the program specify the frequency and format of reports to be submitted to the
6 authority and the performance measures to be included in the reports.

7 *Establish* (4) A method for evaluating the projected or anticipated results of the grant or
8 loan program with actual outcomes as determined by evaluating the information
9 described in subs. (1) and (2).

10 (5) ~~A requirement that the authority~~ annually and independently verify, from
11 a sample of grants and loans, the accuracy of the information required to be reported
12 under sub. (3).

13 **SECTION 15.** 234.255 of the statutes is created to read:

14 **234.255 Economic development assistance reporting.** Annually, no later
15 than October 1, the authority shall submit to the legislature under s. 13.172 (2) a
16 comprehensive report assessing economic development programs administered by
17 the authority. The report shall include all of the information required under s. 560.01
18 (2) (am). The authority shall collaborate with the department of commerce to make
19 readily accessible to the public on an Internet-based system the information
20 required under this section.

21 **INSERT 11-22**

22 **SECTION 16.** 560.01 (2) (ae) of the statutes is created to read:

23 560.01 (2) (ae) *Programmatic goals for economic development grants and loans.*

24 The department shall establish clear and measurable goals for each economic

do all of the following

development grant or loan program administered by the department, including all
of the following: *Establish clear and measurable*

1. Specific goals for the program that are tied to statutory policy objectives.

2. *least* At ~~minimum~~ one quantifiable benchmark for each program goal described
Establish in subd. 1.

3. A requirement that each contract with a recipient of a grant or loan under
the program specify the frequency and format of reports to be submitted to the
department and the performance measures to be included in the reports.

4. *Establish* A method for evaluating the projected or anticipated results of the grant or
loan program with actual outcomes as determined by evaluating the information
described in subds. 1. and 2.

5. ~~A requirement that the department~~ annually and independently verify, from
a sample of grants and loans, the accuracy of the information required to be reported
under subd. 3.

~~SECTION 17.~~ 560.01 (2) (am) of the statutes is created to read:

560.01 (2) (am) *Economic development assistance reporting.* Annually, no later
than October 1, the department shall submit to the legislature under s. 13.172 (2) a
comprehensive report assessing economic development programs administered by
the department. The department shall make readily accessible to the public on an
Internet-based system the information required under this paragraph. The report
shall include all of the following information:


1. A description of each program.

2. Quantifiable performance measures directly related to the purpose of the
program.

3. A comparison of expected and actual program outcomes.

- 1 4. The number of grants made under the program in the previous year.
- 2 5. The number of loans made under the program in the previous year.
- 3 6. The amount of each grant and loan made under the program in the previous
- 4 year.
- 5 7. The recipient of each grant or loan made under the program in the previous
- 6 year.
- 7 8. The sum total of all grants and loans awarded to and received by each
- 8 recipient under the program in the previous year.
- 9 9. Any recommended changes to the program.

10 **INSERT 12-4**

11  ss. 23.169, 36.11 (29r), 38.04 (10m), 41.11 (1r), 84.01 (11m), 93.07 (20), and
12 234.255

13 **INSERT 20-11**

14 **SECTION 18.** 560.7995 (4) (c) (intro.) of the statutes is amended to read:

15 560.7995 (4) (c) (intro.) The department shall revoke a person's certification
16 under par. (b) 1. when the designation of the applicable airport development zone
17 expires or if the person does any of the following:

History: 2005 a. 487; s. 13.93 (1) (b).

18 **SECTION 19.** 560.7995 (4) (e) of the statutes is amended to read:

19 560.7995 (4) (e) The tax benefits for which a person is certified as eligible under
20 par. (b) 1. are not transferable to another person, business, or location, except to the
21 extent permitted under section 383 of the Internal Revenue Code.

History: 2005 a. 487; s. 13.93 (1) (b).

22 **SECTION 20.** Subchapter VII (title) of chapter 560 [precedes 560.80] of the
23 statutes is amended to read:

24 **CHAPTER 560**

SUBCHAPTER VII

MINORITY BUSINESS ~~EARLY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS~~

GRANTS AND LOANS

INSERT 21-6

~~SECTION 21.~~ 560.81 (title) of the statutes is repealed.

~~SECTION 22.~~ 560.81 (intro.) of the statutes is renumbered 560.82 (1g) and amended to read:

560.82 (1g) (intro.) The department shall make a grant or loan to an eligible recipient or local development corporation under this subchapter if ~~any of the following apply: the board awards a grant or loan to the eligible recipient or local development corporation under sub. (1m).~~

History: 1989 a. 31, 335; 1997 a. 27; 1999 a. 9; 2003 a. 33.

~~SECTION 23.~~ 560.81 (1) to (4) of the statutes are repealed.

~~SECTION 24.~~ 560.82 (title) of the statutes is amended to read:

560.82 (title) Minority business early planning grants and loans.

History: 1989 a. 31; 1991 a. 269; 1993 a. 16; 1995 a. 27, 216; 1997 a. 27; 2001 a. 16; 2003 a. 33.

~~SECTION 25.~~ 560.82 (1) of the statutes is renumbered 560.82 (1m) (intro.) and amended to read:

560.82 (1m) (intro.) Subject to s. 560.84, the department The board may award a grant or loan under this section to ~~an~~ any of the following:

(a) Subject to s. 560.84, an eligible recipient, as defined in s. 560.80 (5) (a), to fund an early planning project.

History: 1989 a. 31; 1991 a. 269; 1993 a. 16; 1995 a. 27, 216; 1997 a. 27; 2001 a. 16; 2003 a. 33.

~~SECTION 26.~~ 560.82 (1m) (b) and (c) of the statutes are created to read:

560.82 (1m) (b) Subject to s. 560.84, an eligible recipient or local development corporation that submits application materials in a form specified by the department by rule to fund eligible development project costs.

(c) A local development corporation to make grants or loans under sub. (2g) (a) 1.
or to fund a revolving fund program under sub. (2g) (a) 2.

SECTION 27. 560.82 (2) (intro.) of the statutes is amended to read:

560.82 (2) (intro.) The department board may not award a grant or loan under sub. (1) (1m) (a) unless the eligible recipient, as defined in s. 560.80 (5) (a), submits an application, in a form required by the department, that contains or describes all of the following:

History: 1989 a. 31; 1991 a. 269; 1993 a. 16; 1995 a. 27, 216; 1997 a. 27; 2001 a. 16; 2003 a. 33.

SECTION 28. 560.82 (3) (intro.) of the statutes is amended to read:

560.82 (3) (intro.) An eligible recipient, as defined in s. 560.80 (5) (a), who receives a grant or loan under sub. (1) (1m) (a) or s. 560.835 (6), 2001 stats., may only use the proceeds of the grant for the following purposes:

History: 1989 a. 31; 1991 a. 269; 1993 a. 16; 1995 a. 27, 216; 1997 a. 27; 2001 a. 16; 2003 a. 33.

SECTION 29. 560.82 (4) (intro.) of the statutes is amended to read:

560.82 (4) (intro.) ~~In any fiscal biennium, the department~~ The board may not do any of the following:

History: 1989 a. 31; 1991 a. 269; 1993 a. 16; 1995 a. 27, 216; 1997 a. 27; 2001 a. 16; 2003 a. 33.

SECTION 30. 560.82 (4) (a) (intro.) of the statutes is amended to read:

560.82 (4) (a) (intro.) Award in a fiscal biennium, for grants or loans under sub. (1) (1m) (a), more than 25% of the total of all of the following:

History: 1989 a. 31; 1991 a. 269; 1993 a. 16; 1995 a. 27, 216; 1997 a. 27; 2001 a. 16; 2003 a. 33.

SECTION 31. 560.82 (4) (a) 2. of the statutes is amended to read:

2. The lesser of the funds received in a fiscal biennium in repayment of grants or loans under s. 560.83, 2005 stats., and this section or the funds appropriated for the fiscal biennium under s. 20.143 (1) (im).

History: 1989 a. 31; 1991 a. 269; 1993 a. 16; 1995 a. 27, 216; 1997 a. 27; 2001 a. 16; 2003 a. 33.

SECTION 32. 560.82 (4) (b) of the statutes is amended to read:

1 560.82 (4) (b) Award, in a fiscal biennium to any one eligible recipient, as
2 defined in s. 560.80 (5) (a), or for any one early planning project, grants or loans under
3 sub. (1) (1m) (a) that total more than \$15,000.

4 History: 1989 a. 31; 1991 a. 269; 1993 a. 16; 1995 a. 27, 216; 1997 a. 27; 2001 a. 16; 2003 a. 33.

4 **SECTION 33.** 560.82 (5) (a) of the statutes is repealed.

5 **SECTION 34.** 560.82 (5) (b) of the statutes is renumbered 560.82 (5) and
6 amended to read:

7 560.82 (5) If the department board awards a grant or loan under sub. (1) (1m)
8 (a), the department may contract directly with and pay grant or loan proceeds
9 directly to any person providing technical or management assistance to the grant or
10 loan recipient.

11 History: 1989 a. 31; 1991 a. 269; 1993 a. 16; 1995 a. 27, 216; 1997 a. 27; 2001 a. 16; 2003 a. 33.

11 **SECTION 35.** 560.83 (title) of the statutes is repealed.

12 **SECTION 36.** 560.83 (1) of the statutes is repealed.

13 **SECTION 37.** 560.83 (2) of the statutes is renumbered 560.82 (2g).

14 **SECTION 38.** 560.83 (3) of the statutes is renumbered 560.82 (2g) (c) and
15 amended to read:

16 560.82 (2g) (c) The board may not award a grant or loan under sub. (1) or (2)
17 ~~unless the eligible recipient or the~~ local development corporation submits an
18 application, or other materials, in a form specified by the department by rule.

19 History: 1989 a. 31; 1997 a. 27; 1999 a. 9.

19 **SECTION 39.** 560.83 (4) (a) of the statutes is renumbered 560.82 (4) (c) and
20 amended to read:

21 560.82 (4) (c) In Award in any fiscal biennium, ~~the board may not award~~, to any
22 one eligible recipient or local development corporation or for any one development

project, grants or loans under sub. ~~(1)~~ (1m) ~~(b)~~ that total more than \$100,000 in a fiscal biennium.

History: 1989 a. 31; 1997 a. 27; 1999 a. 9.

SECTION 40. 560.83 (4) (b) of the statutes is renumbered 560.82 (4) (d) and amended to read:

560.82 (4) (d) ~~In Award, in~~ any fiscal year, ~~the board may not award~~ to any one local development corporation, grants or loans under sub. ~~(2)~~ (1m) ~~(c)~~ that total more than \$200,000.

History: 1989 a. 31; 1997 a. 27; 1999 a. 9.

INSERT 25-1

SECTION 41. 560.84 (1) (intro.) of the statutes is amended to read:

560.84 (1) (intro.) The ~~department or~~ board may not award a grant or loan for a project under this subchapter unless, after considering the application or other material submitted by the eligible recipient or local development corporation, the ~~department or~~ board determines all of the following:

History: 1989 a. 31, 335; 1993 a. 16; 1995 a. 27; 1997 a. 27; 2003 a. 33.

INSERT 25-18

SECTION 42. 560.84 (2) (intro.) of the statutes is amended to read:

560.84 (2) (intro.) The board ~~or department~~ shall consider all of the following before awarding a grant or loan to an eligible recipient or local development corporation for a project:

History: 1989 a. 31, 335; 1993 a. 16; 1995 a. 27; 1997 a. 27; 2003 a. 33.

INSERT 25-23

SECTION 43. 560.84 (2) (a) 1. of the statutes is amended to read:

560.84 (2) (a) 1. If an early planning project under s. 560.82, the extent to which the project will increase employment in this state.

History: 1989 a. 31, 335; 1993 a. 16; 1995 a. 27; 1997 a. 27; 2003 a. 33.

SECTION 44. 560.85 (3) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:

Insert Anal-2

Current law authorizes Commerce to award early planning project grants to certain eligible recipients. An "early planning project" is defined under current law as "the preliminary stages of considering and planning the start-up or expansion of a business that will be a minority business." Under current law, eligible recipients include individuals who are minority group members and residents of this state. The bill does not change the definition of "early planning project" or "eligible recipient." The bill transfers to the Minority Business Development Board the authority to award grants and permits the board to award early planning project loans as well as grants.

Grant.

Insert Anal-3

Under the bill, Commerce must still report the information required under current law, but the bill requires that all economic development reporting be made in one comprehensive annual document. In addition, under the bill, each of the following entities must submit to the legislature a comprehensive annual report detailing its economic development activities: 1) the University of Wisconsin System; 2) DATCP; 3) DNR; 4) WHEDA; 5) the Department of Tourism; 6) the Technical College System; and 7) the Department of Transportation.

Grant

Require

(3) A requirement that each grant or loan recipient submit a report to the department. Each contract with a recipient of a grant or loan under the program shall specify the frequency and format of the report to be submitted to the department and the performance measures to be included in the report.

Grant

The d, in court w/ Dec, s. 10 do all other future:

1. test.

2. est

3. Require

4. est

5. require

*on p. 12 cr. insert that
with all 7 entities*